

| | | |
|---|--|-------------------------|
| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) |
| | 10/622,910 | QUACH, NHON |
| | Examiner Christopher S. McCarthy | Art Unit 2113 |

All Participants:

Status of Application: _____

(1) Christopher S. McCarthy.

(3) _____.

(2) C. Teresa Wong, Reg. # 48,042.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 31 May 2006

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

All

Prior art documents discussed:


Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner inquired as to the support in the applicant's spec. for the new amendment in the claims and for new claim 9. The applicant's representative explained that the selector of the new amendment is supported in paragraphs 42-43 of the specification and further in figure 2B as the MUX (216) in the second execution core ((210b) is the claimed selector device. .